

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandra, Vignita 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/988,419	11/16/2001	Larry P. Bleier	930015-2006	8533
20999	7590 08/19/2003			
FROMMER LAWRENCE & HAUG			EXAMINER	
745 FIFTH A' NEW YORK,	VENUE- 10TH FL. NY 10151		NGUYEN, GEORG	GE BINH MINH
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3723	1
			DATE MAILED: 08/19/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

BEST AVAILABLE COPY

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
. \$`		09/988,419	BLEIER, LARRY P.				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
\$		George Nguyen	3723				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication ap or Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence address				
A SHOTHE! - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failu - Anyr	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Issions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period reto reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statutely received by the Office later than three months after the mailing appearance of the provided patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin ply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day I will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from te, cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 27	May 2003 .					
2a)⊠	This action is FINAL . 2b) T	his action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
·	on of Claims						
,—	4) Claim(s) 26-29 is/are pending in the application.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
·	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
	Claim(s) 26 and 29 is/are rejected.						
	7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>27-28</u> is/are objected to. 8)□ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
	on Papers	or election requirement.					
9) 🗌 .	The specification is objected to by the Examin	er.					
10) 🔲 .	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)□ acc	epted or b)⊡ objected to by the Exa	miner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.							
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.							
	The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	Examiner. BESI AV	AILABLE COPY				
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
,	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig	gn priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a	a)-(d) or (f).				
a)[☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:						
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
* S	3. Copies of the certified copies of the pri application from the International B See the attached detailed Office action for a lis	ureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	· ·				
14) 🗌 A	acknowledgment is made of a claim for domes	tic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).				
)						
Attachmen	t(s)						
2) Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal	y (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)				

, Application/Control Number: 09/988,419

Art Unit: 3723

DETAILED ACTION

Receipt is acknowledged of Applicant's amendment filed on May 27, 2003.

Claims 1-25 were withdrawn from further consideration.

Claims 26-29 are presented for examination.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

2. Claim 26 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Sinclair',3797,334.

With reference to Fig. 3, Sinclair discloses a finishing device comprising at least two components body 12 and abrasive element 29 when brought together, form a single block.

Double Patenting

3. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).



Application/Control Number: 09/988,419

Art Unit: 3723

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

4. Claim 29 is rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-25 of U.S. Patent No. 6,074,293. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the subject matter of the application claims is fully disclosed in the patent application and covered by the patented claim. The patented claim are inclusive for they are drafted using the "comprising-style" format and cover the subject matter of the application claims. Since application has obtained the right to exclude others from making or using the subject matter set forth in the claims of this application by virtue of the patented claims, the issuance of the application into a patent without a terminal disclaimer as provided for under 37 CFR section 1.321 (b) would amount to an extension of this right.

Allowable Subject Matter

5. Claims 27-28 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Response to Arguments

6. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 26 and 29 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

REST AVAILABLE COPY

Application/Control Number: 09/988,419 Page 4

Art Unit: 3723

Conclusion

7. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to George Nguyen whose telephone number is 703-308-0163. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday/630AM-300PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Joseph Hail can be reached on 703-308-2687. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-1078.

BEST AVAILABLE COPY

Application/Control Number: 09/988,419

Art Unit: 3723

George Nguyen Primary Examiner

GN / August 18, 2003

Page 5

George Nguyen Primary Examiner V Art Unit 3723